Construction of Nonseparable Multiwavelets for Nonlinear Image Compression
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A procedure for the construction of balanced orthogonal nonseparable quincunx multiwavelets, having filters with good lowpass properties, is introduced. The matrix filter bank is viewed as the polyphase matrix of other filters, upon which the lowpass condition is imposed. The multiscaling functions obtained are plotted by means of the cascade algorithm. The process of transforming an image with these wavelets is outlined: formulae for analysis and synthesis are given, the first steps are illustrated with images, and the decomposition of the original image into two input images is addressed. Compression is achieved in a nonlinear process. Experimental results show that (i) the constructed multiwavelets having lowpass properties perform better than other nonseparable multiwavelets, (ii) the energy compaction in the fine detail subbands is greater for the multiwavelets than for the one-dimensional wavelets tried.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In the last 15 years wavelets have been an expanding research field, with many applications such as image compression, image denoising, and pattern recognition, among others. They have proved very efficient in image compression: they have good time-frequency localization, they decorrelate the data and give a sparse representation of the image.

Multiwavelets are a generalization of the wavelet theory. They exploit the spatial correlations between various input images, such as multitemporal images. They can be designed to have several suitable properties simultaneously, such as orthogonality, polynomial approximation, short support and symmetry, see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. They have given good results for signal compression, see [6, 7, 8, 9]. In order to apply multiwavelets, either the input data must be prefiltered (see [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]) or the multiwavelets themselves must be balanced (see [15, 16]).

Nonseparable wavelets have been introduced and investigated, and examples have been given in [17, 18, 19]. This is a more general setting than the classical one, nonseparable filters are used, and decimation is achieved with a dilation matrix. In this way the errors of thresholding the transformed coefficients do not lie mainly in the horizontal and vertical directions—which does not agree with our visual system.

In an attempt to unify advances made in both directions: multiwavelets, and nonseparable bidimensional wavelets, examples were built of continuous, nonseparable, orthogonal multiscaling functions in [20]. They are compactly supported, have quincunx decimation, and have polynomial approximation orders (i.e., accuracy) 2 and 3. Their corresponding multiwavelets were also found. In [21] other examples were given, with the additional property of being balanced.

In this work we construct multiwavelets that are balanced, continuous, nonseparable, orthogonal, have quincunx decimation, have accuracy 2 or 3, and whose filters have good lowpass properties, in order to give more energy compaction. Their coefficients are given in the appendix. In Section 2 some general concepts and notation are given. In Section 3 the process of the multiwavelet transform of an image is outlined for these wavelets. Formulae for analysis and synthesis are given. The first steps are illustrated with images. The decomposition of the original image into 2 input images is addressed in Section 4. In Section 5 we show how the multiwavelets are obtained, by imposing lowpass conditions. In Section 6 we explain how compression is achieved in a nonlinear process: this nonlinearity is not the result of the application of a nonlinear operator, but the result of thresholding the coefficients obtained by a linear operator—the multiwavelet transform. We also give several experimental results, comparing the performance of the constructed multiwavelets to that of other nonseparable multiwavelets, and to well-known one-dimensional wavelets. Concluding remarks are in Section 7.

2. NONSEPARABLE ORTHOGONAL QUINCUNX MULTIWAVELETS

Let \( \Phi_1 \) and \( \Phi_2 \) be two continuous scaling functions defined over \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) such that the family \( \{ \Phi_1(x-k), \Phi_2(x-l) \} \) is
orthonormal. In vector form the dilation equation becomes
\[
\Phi(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} H^{(k)} \Phi(Dx - k),
\]
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Phi_1(x) \\
\Phi_2(x)
\end{bmatrix} = \sum_k \begin{bmatrix}
H^{(k)}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\Phi_1(Dx - k) \\
\Phi_2(Dx - k)
\end{bmatrix},
\] (1)

where \(H^{(k)}\) are \(2 \times 2\) matrices of a matrix filter bank with indices such as
\[
M_0 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & H^{(1,1)} & H^{(2,1)} & 0 \\
H^{(1,0)} & H^{(1,0)} & H^{(2,0)} & H^{(3,0)} \\
0 & H^{(1,-1)} & H^{(2,-1)} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\] (2)

and \(D\) is the dilation matrix. Notation is simplified and \(\Phi_1(Dx - k)\) means that we apply \(\Phi_1\) to the two components of \(Dx - k\): \(D_1,\) a reflection followed by an expansion of \(\sqrt{2},\) and \(D_2,\) a rotation followed by an expansion of \(\sqrt{2}.\) For both matrices \(|D| = |\det(D)| = 2,
\]
\[
D_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \quad D_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & -1 \\
1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\] (3)

Both \(D_1\) and \(D_2\) induce a decomposition of the sets of all pairs of integers \(\mathbb{Z}^2\) into two cosets; \(\Gamma_1\) and \(\Gamma_2,\) forming the quincunx sublattices—black and white squares of a chess-table:
\[
\mathbb{Z}^2 = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2; \quad \Gamma_1 = \{D \mathbb{Z}^2\}; \quad \Gamma_2 = \left\{D \mathbb{Z}^2 + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right\}. \] (4)

The number of wavelets is \(|D| - 1 = 1\) in both cases. The equation for the multiwavelet, in vector form, is
\[
\Psi(x) = \sum_k G^{(k)} \Phi(Dx - k).
\] (5)

Finally we recall some general notation. Given a 2d filter \(F,\) the \(\mathbb{Z}\) transform of \(F\) is
\[
F(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} F_{jk} z_1^{-j} z_2^{-k},
\] (6)

and the frequency response of \(F\) is
\[
\hat{F}(w_1, w_2) = \sum_{(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} F_{jk} e^{-i(w_1 j + w_2 k)}.
\] (7)

Given a 2d signal \(x,\) we define \(x \downarrow D (x\ \text{downsampled with} \ D)\) as
\[
y = x \downarrow D \iff y_n = x_{Dn}.
\] (8)

3. ANALYSIS SYNTHESIS FORMULAE

Assume that we decompose the original image \(X^{(0)}\) into two input images \(c_{1,k}^{(0)}\) and \(c_{2,k}^{(0)} (k \in \mathbb{Z}^2),\) and let \(f(x)\) be the function that verifies

\[
f \in V_0 = \text{span} \{\Phi_1(\cdot - k), \Phi_2(\cdot - l)\}_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}^2},
\]
\[
f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \begin{bmatrix} c_{1,k}^{(0)} \\ c_{2,k}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} \Phi(x - k), \quad \text{where } c_{1,k}^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{1,k}^{(0)} \\ c_{2,k}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix}.
\] (9)

The analysis scheme (see Figure 1) outputs two approximation images \(c_{1,k}^{(-1)}\) and \(c_{2,k}^{(-1)},\) and two detail images \(d_{1,k}^{(-1)}\) and \(d_{2,k}^{(-1)}.\) We set
\[
c_{1,k}^{(-1)} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{1,k}^{(-1)} \\ c_{2,k}^{(-1)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad d_{1,k}^{(-1)} = \begin{bmatrix} d_{1,k}^{(-1)} \\ d_{2,k}^{(-1)} \end{bmatrix}.
\] (10)

We have
\[
V_0 = V_{-1} \oplus W_{-1}, \quad V_{-1} \perp W_{-1},
\] (11)

where
\[
V_{-1} = \text{span} \{\Phi_1(D^{-1} \cdot - k), \Phi_2(D^{-1} \cdot - l)\}_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}^2},
\]
\[
W_{-1} = \text{span} \{\Psi_1(D^{-1} \cdot - k), \Psi_2(D^{-1} \cdot - l)\}_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}^2}.
\] (12)

Writing \(f(x)\) as the sum of its projections onto \(V_{-1}\) and \(W_{-1}\)
\[
f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|D|}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} c_{1,k}^{(-1)T} \Phi(D^{-1} x - k)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|D|}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} d_{1,k}^{(-1)T} \Psi(D^{-1} x - k),
\] (13)

it can be shown that the analysis formulae are
\[
c_{k}^{(-1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|D|}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} H^{(j-Dk)} c_{j,k}^{(0)},
\] (14)
\[
d_{k}^{(-1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|D|}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} G^{(j-Dk)} c_{j,k}^{(0)}.
\] (15)

Similarly, it can be shown that the synthesis formula is
\[
c_{k}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|D|}} [U_k + V_k],
\] (16)
where
\[ U_k = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (H^{(k-Dj)} c^{(-1)}_{c,j}, \]
\[ V_k = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (G^{(k-Dj)} d^{(-1)}_{c,j}). \] (17)

In Figure 2 we have a phone, the original image. We copy the image to begin with and take \( c_1^{(0)} = c_2^{(0)} = X^{(0)} \).

In Figure 3 we have 4 images, they are the coefficients of one step of the multiwavelet transform \( d_1^{(-1)} \) (top left), \( c_1^{(-1)} \) (top right) and \( d_2^{(-1)} \) (bottom left), \( c_2^{(-1)} \) (bottom right). The dilation matrix was \( D_1 \). The effect of downsampling with \( D_1 \) in the analysis formula is to reflect and contract the image.

In Figure 4 we have the coefficients of two steps of the same transform: \( d_1^{(-2)}, d_2^{(-2)}, c_1^{(-2)} \) (top) and \( d_2^{(-2)} \), after two steps the image has recovered its original orientation. It takes four steps to do so if the dilation matrix is \( D_2 \).

At each step, before the images are processed they have to be periodized, otherwise there are artifacts at the borders. Periodization is different if the frames of the images are normally oriented squares (after the even steps) or diamond-oriented squares (after the odd steps).

4. ONE INPUT IMAGE DECOMPOSED INTO TWO INPUT IMAGES

At the beginning of the process the original image may be copied so as to get two input images, but duplicating the input signal will not help for compression.

One squared image is not divided straightforwardly into two squared images. Notice, however, that after one step of the analysis procedure we get two diamond-like matrices of approximation coefficients, and each one has half the size of the original image. Therefore, we decompose the original image into two diamonds. We might build one diamond by cutting out the corners of the original image, and build the other with the rest, but the algorithm (14) mixes information from the two images and this gives poor results for compression. The two input images should be alike, this we manage by separating into two diamonds the pixels belonging to each coset (see Figure 5).

Notice that in this way all the coefficients of the multiwavelet transform need as much storage as the original image, and can be made to fit into it.
Figure 5: Pixels in first (left) and second (right) cosets of original phone.

5. CONSTRUCTION OF MULTIWAVELETS

In [21] the properties of orthogonality, accuracy and balancing were analyzed, and for each property were derived conditions for the matrix filter coefficients \( H^{(k)} \). The conditions for accuracy or polynomial approximation order were obtained from [22]. All the conditions helped to build examples of different nonseparable multiwavelets, by means of a numerical least-squares optimization routine.

In order to force the filters to have good lowpass properties, we now follow a procedure carried out by Xia [10] in the design of prefilters for 1d multiwavelets. As in the 1d case, a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix filter bank is also a polyphase matrix of two filters (see [23]); the only difference is that here the two filters are two-dimensional.

The approximation coefficients in (14) can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
&c_{1,1}^{(-1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|D|}} \left[ (\tilde{H}_{11}^{(1)} \ast c_{1,1}^{(0)}) + (\tilde{H}_{12}^{(1)} \ast c_{2,1}^{(0)}) \right] \ast D, \\
&c_{2,1}^{(-1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|D|}} \left[ (\tilde{H}_{21}^{(1)} \ast c_{1,1}^{(0)}) + (\tilde{H}_{22}^{(1)} \ast c_{2,1}^{(0)}) \right] \ast D,
\end{align*}
\]

where the symbol \( \ast \) denotes discrete 2d convolution, and \( \tilde{H}_{i,j}^{(k)} = H^{(-k,-j)} \).

Let \( c_{1,1}^{(0)} \) be the pixels of the image \( X^{(0)} \) on coset \( \Gamma_1 \), and \( c_{2,1}^{(0)} \) be the pixels of the image on coset \( \Gamma_2 \). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
X^{(0)}(z_1, z_2) &= c_{1,1}^{(0)}(z^D) + z_1 c_{2,1}^{(0)}(z^D), \\
c_{1,1}^{(0)}(z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{n=(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} X^{(0)}_{Dn} z_1^{-j} z_2^{-k}, \\
c_{2,1}^{(0)}(z_1, z_2) &= \sum_{n=(j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} X^{(0)}_{Dn+10} z_1^{-j} z_2^{-k}.
\end{align*}
\]

We can write (18) as

\[
\begin{align*}
c_{1,1}^{(-1)} &= [y_{1,1}] \ast D, \\
c_{2,1}^{(-1)} &= [y_{2,1}] \ast D.
\end{align*}
\]

Now the \( \mathbb{Z} \) transform of \( y_{1,1} \) and \( y_{2,1} \) is

\[
\begin{align*}
[y_{1,1}(z_1, z_2)] &= P_{F_1 F_2} \begin{bmatrix} c_{1,1}^{(0)}(z_1, z_2) \\
&c_{2,1}^{(0)}(z_1, z_2) \end{bmatrix}, \\
[y_{2,1}(z_1, z_2)] &= P_{F_1 F_2} \begin{bmatrix} c_{1,1}^{(0)}(z_1, z_2) \\
&c_{2,1}^{(0)}(z_1, z_2) \end{bmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
P_{F_1 F_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|D|}} \begin{bmatrix} H_{11}^{(1)}(\frac{1}{z_1}, \frac{1}{z_2}) & H_{12}^{(1)}(\frac{1}{z_1}, \frac{1}{z_2}) \\
&H_{21}^{(1)}(\frac{1}{z_1}, \frac{1}{z_2}) & H_{22}^{(1)}(\frac{1}{z_1}, \frac{1}{z_2}) \end{bmatrix}
\]

is the polyphase matrix for two filters \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \). Filter \( F_1 \) has coefficients \( H_{i,j}^{(1)} \) on coset \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( H_{i,j}^{(2)} \) on coset \( \Gamma_2 \), while filter \( F_2 \) has coefficients \( H_{i,j}^{(2)} \) on coset \( \Gamma_2 \) and \( H_{i,j}^{(1)} \) on coset \( \Gamma_2 \).

Writing down the latter, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
F_1(z_1, z_2) &= H_{11}^{(1)}(z^D) + z_1 H_{12}^{(1)}(z^D), \\
F_2(z_1, z_2) &= H_{21}^{(1)}(z^D) + z_1 H_{22}^{(1)}(z^D),
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
z^D = (z_1^{d_{11}} z_2^{d_{12}}, z_1^{d_{21}} z_2^{d_{22}}).
\]

Furthermore, equation (21) is equivalent to

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{1,1} &= (X^{(0)} \ast \hat{F}_1) \ast D, \\
\gamma_{2,1} &= (X^{(0)} \ast \hat{F}_2) \ast D.
\end{align*}
\]

Since there is one downsampling step in (20), the approximation coefficients \( c_{1,1}^{(-1)} \) and \( c_{2,1}^{(-1)} \) are obtained from a 2d convolution of the original with \( \hat{F}_1 \) and \( \hat{F}_2 \) plus two downsampling steps. If we impose the lowpass property

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{F}_1(\pi, \pi) &= \hat{F}_1(-1, -1) = 0, \\
\hat{F}_2(\pi, \pi) &= \hat{F}_2(-1, -1) = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

we obtain the lowpass polyphase filter condition (for either dilation matrix \( D_1 \) or \( D_2 \))

\[
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} H_{11}^{(k)} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} H_{12}^{(k)}, \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} H_{21}^{(k)} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} H_{22}^{(k)}.
\]

With a numerical optimization routine, we obtained matrix filter coefficients verifying the above requirement plus all the conditions for orthogonality, accuracy two and balancing. Coefficients are given in the appendix. The two scaling functions were plotted with eight iterations of the cascade algorithm, see Figures 6 and 7.

The corresponding wavelet coefficients \( G^{(k)} \) were found in a similar way.

6. COMPRESSION

After the image has been transformed \( J \) steps, we have

\[
f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left( c_{j,k}^{(-j)} \right)^T |D|^{-j/2} \text{Psi}(D^{-j} x - k)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left( d_{j,k}^{(-j)} \right)^T |D|^{-j/2} \text{Psi}(D^{-j} x - k).
\]

(28)
Since the system
\[
\{ |D|^{-J/2} \Phi_1 (D^{-J} x - k), |D|^{-J/2} \Phi_2 (D^{-J} x - k), \\
|D|^{-J/2} \Psi_1 (D^{-J} x - k), |D|^{-J/2} \Psi_2 (D^{-J} x - k), \\
\ldots, |D|^{-1/2} \Phi_1 (D^{-1} x - k), |D|^{-1/2} \Psi_2 (D^{-1} x - k) \}
\]
is orthonormal, the sums of squares of all the coefficients
\[ c_{1,k}^1, c_{2,k}^1, d_{1,k}^1, d_{2,k}^1, \ldots, d_{1,k}^2, d_{2,k}^2 \] is equal to the sums of squares of the original coefficients \( \{c_{1,k}^{(0)}, c_{2,k}^{(0)}\}\). This means that an error in the transformed coefficients is equal to the error in the reconstructed image (in 2-norm). Suppose we fix the number of coefficients to be kept, and discard the rest. Then keeping the largest transformed coefficients in absolute value, and sending the smaller ones to zero, that is, applying a threshold, is a nonlinear process that minimizes the 2-norm error.

With the filter coefficients \( H^{(k)} \) and \( G^{(k)} \) of the examples found previously, the multiwavelet transforms were applied to three test images: Lena (256 × 256), Cameraman (256 × 256), and Phone (128 × 128). The number of steps taken was such that the final coarse approximation matrices were of size 8 × 8. The original images were compressed retaining in all cases 15% of the largest transformed coefficients in absolute value, that is, they will all have the same compression rate as a rough estimate. We list the PSNR in Table 1. The wavelets are named according to their properties: \((D_2 - \text{acc3} - \text{bal})\) means a balanced multiwavelet of accuracy 3 with dilation matrix \( D_2 \). Results improved with the order of accuracy of the wavelets; that is, for higher polynomial approximation order, the PSNR was higher, and therefore the MSE was smaller. We can also observe that for wavelets having the same accuracy, those having the polyphase lowpass filter property (LP) have a superior performance. At this stage it is difficult to predict what the final compression rates will be, but since multiwavelets are generalizations of wavelets, we think that these results may be improved by imposing more conditions in the construction of the multiwavelets.

In Figure 8 we have the original Cameraman (detail) and in Figure 9 the reconstructed Cameraman (detail) with a balanced multiwavelet of accuracy 2 with dilation matrix \( D_2 \) and lowpass polyphase filter property \((D_2 - \text{acc2} - \text{bal} - \text{LP})\) after retaining 15% of the largest coefficients of the multiwavelet transform.

We now present a comparison between the energy com-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: PSNR of reconstruction with 15% coefficients.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quincunx Multiwavelet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_1 - \text{acc1} - \text{bal} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_1 - \text{acc2} - \text{bal} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_2 - \text{acc2} - \text{bal} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_1 - \text{acc3} - \text{bal} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_2 - \text{acc3} - \text{bal} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_1 - \text{acc1} - \text{bal} - \text{LP} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_2 - \text{acc2} - \text{bal} - \text{LP} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Scaling function 1.

Figure 7: Scaling function 2.

Figure 8: Original Cameraman (detail).

Figure 9: Reconstruction with 15% coefficients.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelet</th>
<th>Image Lena</th>
<th>( r )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haar</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daubechies 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biorthogonal 9/7</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_2 - \text{acc}3 - \text{bal} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_2 - \text{acc}2 - \text{bal} - \text{LP} )</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0084</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. CONCLUSIONS

A procedure for the construction of orthogonal nonseparable multiwavelets with quincunx decimation and lowpass polyphase filter properties has been given. The multiwavelets obtained are balanced and have different polynomial approximation orders. A graph of the two scaling functions associated to one multiwavelet has been obtained by means of a cascade algorithm, and the coefficients are given.

We have shown how image processing is achieved with these wavelets, how the original image is decomposed into two input images, we have given the analysis-synthesis formulae and illustrated the first steps of these transforms.

Once the image has been transformed, the coefficients are thresholded for compression. Experimental results show that for a same compression rate, image quality is higher for the multiwavelets wavelets having higher polynomial approximation order. And that for the same polynomial approximation order, the performance of the wavelets having low-pass properties is superior to the other multiwavelets.

Numerical results also indicate that the energy compaction in the fine detail subbands may be greater for the constructed multiwavelet transforms than for the conventional discrete wavelet transforms. This makes the multiwavelets a potential tool for image or video compression.

APPENDIX

\[ H^{(1),1} = [-5.925666332745038e-2, 3.744699178489502e-2] \]
\[ H^{(2),1} = [-6.87126195901233e-2, 3.08264191403497e-1] \]
\[ H^{(0),0} = [9.10177947899736e-2, 1.147594849860903e-3] \]
\[ H^{(1),0} = [3.34092666738988e-1, 4.4914645869267e-1] \]
\[ H^{(2),0} = [1.001671974143504e+0, 6.88933770921827e-1] \]
\[ H^{(3),0} = [-5.25212585158303e-1, 4.08210079062272e-2] \]
\[ H^{(1),-1} = [5.457525798261077e-2, 1.000177891678567e-1] \]
\[ H^{(2),-1} = [-1.35941232130003e-1, 2.976809087426491e-2] \]
\[ w = [1.0, 1.0] \]
\[ u = [-2.590118834952359e-2, -2.721035516546056e-1] \]
\[ v = [-1.170391652697625e+0, -8.789636834867043e-1] \]
\[ G^{(1),1} = [1.265442752812728e-1, -5.270803250075925e-2] \]
\[ G^{(2),1} = [4.341910340925161e-2, 4.278047153213938e-2] \]
\[ G^{(0,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.030244580035089e-1 & 3.684651927019812e-1 \\ 1.07661704194698e-1 & -2.991279828567442e-1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ G^{(1,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 6.40931773030972e-1 & -7.24019573295425e-1 \\ -3.78484847119604e-1 & 7.065039887323031e-1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ G^{(2,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 6.22342968194491e-1 & -5.990080922886163e-1 \\ 4.911572165652078e-1 & -8.50135214341092e-1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ G^{(3,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.641760277533065e-1 & -2.46705338045102e-1 \\ 2.474596759125719e-1 & -2.81427027493299e-1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ G^{(1,-1)} = \begin{bmatrix} -6.616332019405033e-2 & -2.04976862236048e-1 \\ 6.72823540911705e-2 & 1.38989567428485e-1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ G^{(2,-1)} = \begin{bmatrix} -8.13292879725325e-2 & -8.606589708721337e-3 \\ -1.560286466880401e-1 & 4.600449840297642e-2 \end{bmatrix} \]
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